UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,269	12/30/2003	Forrest Rhoads	85192 (309344)	9691
	7590 02/22/201 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			SYED, FARHAN M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,269	RHOADS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	FARHAN M. SYED	2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a No replies: (1) an amendment, eal (with appeal fee) in com	otice of Appeal. To avoid aban- affidavit, or other evidence, w pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WI	ne mailing date of the final rejection	٦.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for r than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropria eply originally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a	nsideration and/or search (s w); er form for appeal by mate	see NOTE below); rially reducing or simplifying th	
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 	21. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (F	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence is r	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under and was not earlier preser	er appeal and/or appellant fails nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165	/F. M. S./ Examiner, Art Un	it 2165	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues:

(1) The cited references do not reference citations or legal documents.

The Examiner disagrees. The Applicant claims in part a third database and wherein the retrieved work product documents are converted into a markup language and subsequently indexed based on citations and text to permit the work product documents to be search by the searching means. The cited art teaches teaches a third database (i.e. internet database)(see Figure 1; see also paragraph [0042]) and wherein the retrieved work product documents (i.e. legacy data)(paragraph [0052]) are converted into a markup language (i.e. "..converts legacy data into XML document.)(paragraph [0052]) and subsequently indexed based on citations and text to permit the work product documents to be searched by the searching means (The Examiner notes that this limitation is an intended use of leveraging XML language that uses document-type-definition (DTD). Moreover, the indexed is based on citation and text, which as a whole are viewed as metadata criteria in the art of indexing and therefore facilitates the XML document to be indexed and searched. The Examiner does not contend that citations are references to previous court decisions or authoritative writings, however, because the limitation of citation is used as a criteria in indexing, the citations (or the value thereof), are viewed as metadata. In addition, these documents are parsed into memory creating a tree structure (i.e. indexed).).